



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/470,890	12/22/1999	PATRICK D. SMITH	PD05924AM	6738
. 7590 05/05/2005		EXAMINER		
JONATHAN P MEYER			BURD, KEVIN MICHAEL	
MOTOROLA INC 1303 EAST ALGONQUIN ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SCHAUMBURG, IL 60196			2631	<u>_</u>

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## 

2) applicant's representative

e) No.

Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,3,7,12 and 19</u>.

Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Jokinen (WO 98/18210)</u>.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)□ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Date of Interview: 29 April 2005.

If Yes, brief description: .

Type: a) ✓ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes

c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Reference was discussed. Applicant asked about specific limitations of claim 1 and where they were found specifically in the reference. The examiner explained his interpretation of the reference is that each interfering mobile station is considered an impairmant type and each of these types will have a corresponding impairment mask. Applicant's representative will file an after final amendment to distinguish the claimed invention from this interpretation of the claims.